

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,683	05/22/2004	Johan Ockborn	7589.176.PCUS00	3047	
65858 7590 00250910 NOVAK DRUCE AND QUIGG LLP (Volvo) 1000 LOUISIANA STREET FIFTY-THIRD FLOOR HOUSTON, TX 77002			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			AFZALI, SARANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3726		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/709,683	OCKBORN ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SARANG AFZALI	3726			
All Participants: Status of Application: After First Non-Final					
(1) SARANG AFZALI.	(3)				
(2) <u>KENNETH FAGIN</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 8 March 2010	Time:				
Type of Interview:	ant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/SARANG AFZALI/ Examiner, Art Unit 3726	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/709,683

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone call made to the Applicant's attorney, Mr. Fagin on 2/26 and 3/8/2010, the Examiner suggested cancelling of product claim 24 which was previously withdrawn and currently amended in order to put the application in condition for allowance. However, Mr. Fagin indicated that he has not received the permission from his client as of this date.